

European Committee of the Regions

# ECON-VI/037

# 132nd plenary session, 5-6 December 2018

# **OPINION**

# The Reform Support Programme and European Investment Stabilisation Function

#### THE EUROPEAN COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

On the Reform Support Programme

- calls for a better definition of the scope of reforms to be supported, which, to respect subsidiarity, should be relevant for the implementation of EU Treaty objectives, relate directly to EU competences and bring EU value added;
- welcomes the idea of supporting Member States willing to engage in far-reaching reform commitments through financial contributions and technical assistance, as well as of a convergence facility for Member States having made demonstrable steps towards joining the euro;
- calls for financial support to be allocated between Member States on the basis of cohesion policy indicators instead of population;
- strongly supports the idea that country-specific recommendations should promote investments no less than regulatory reforms, investment-related CSRs should be aligned with the ESIF long-term objectives and the Programme should coordinate all relevant EU spending programmes;
- notes the increased importance of the European Semester and stresses that, to ensure ownership and
  effective implementation of reforms, local and regional authorities should be involved in the
  Semester from its initial phases as design and implementation partners, and that this should become
  a criterion to assess the credibility of reform implementation arrangements;
- stresses that access of local and regional authorities to the technical support instrument under the programme should be pro-actively encouraged at all levels of government;

On the European Investment Stabilisation Function

- stresses that protection from the impact of asymmetric shocks should be ensured for investments by all levels of government;
- welcomes the EISF and reiterates its call to the European Commission to develop over time a fullyfledged insurance mechanism to cater for stabilisation, with a borrowing capacity based on contributions by Member States.

#### **Rapporteur**

Olga Zrihen (BE/PES), Member of the Walloon Parliament

#### Reference documents

Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the establishment of the Reform Support Programme COM(2018) 391 final

Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the establishment of a European Investment Stabilisation Function COM(2018) 387 final

# **Opinion of the European Committee of the Regions - The Reform Support Programme and European Investment Stabilisation Function**

# I. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AMENDMENTS TO THE REFORM SUPPORT PROGRAMME

#### Amendment 1 Recital 5

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Structural reforms can contribute to achieving a	Structural reforms of EU relevance identified in
high degree of resilience of domestic economies	the European Semester can contribute to
and sustainable convergence among Member	increasing economic, social and territorial
States, which is crucial for successful and smooth	cohesion and achieving a high degree of
participation in the Economic and Monetary	resilience of domestic economies and sustainable
Union. That high degree of sustainable	convergence among Member States, which is
convergence is particularly important for Member	crucial for successful and smooth participation in
States, whose currency is not the euro, in their	the Economic and Monetary Union. That high
process of preparation to join the euro area.	degree of sustainable convergence is particularly
	important for Member States, whose currency is
	not the euro, in their process of preparation to join
	the euro area.

#### Amendment 2 Recital 6

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
The degree of implementation of structural	The degree of implementation of structural
reforms in the Member States is still not sufficient	reforms of EU relevance in the Member States is
across the Union. Experience with the	still not sufficient across the Union. Experience
implementation of the economic policy	with the implementation of the economic policy
coordination mechanism under the European	coordination mechanism under the European
Semester shows that, in general, the	Semester shows that, in general, the
implementation of structural reforms has been	implementation of structural reforms has been
slow and uneven and that national reform efforts	slow and uneven and that national reform efforts
should be reinforced and incentivised.	should be reinforced and incentivised, <i>notably by</i>
	increasing the involvement of local and regional
	authorities which are responsible for the
	implementation of most of the identified reform
	needs.

## Amendment 3 Recital 15

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
In order to ensure that the reforms supported by	In order to ensure that the reforms supported by
the Programme address all the key economic and	the Programme address the <i>relevant policy</i> areas,
societal areas, both financial support and	both financial support and technical support under
technical support under the Programme should be	the Programme should be provided by the
provided by the Commission, upon request from a	Commission, upon request from a Member State,
Member State, in a broad range of policy	in a broad range of domains <i>related to EU policy</i>
domains, which include areas related to public	objectives, which include areas related to public
financial and asset management, institutional and	financial and asset management, institutional and
administrative reform, business environment, the	administrative reform, business environment, the
financial sector, markets for products, services	financial sector, markets for products, services
and labour, education and training, sustainable	and labour, education and training, sustainable
development, public health and social welfare.	development, public health and social welfare.

# Amendment 4

Recital 17

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
In order to cater for additional needs under the	A Member State or the Commission should have
Programme, Member States should have the	the possibility in case that means allocated
possibility to transfer to the budget of the	according to Article 26 of the present proposal
Programme resources programmed in shared	would not be committed to request a transfer of
management under the Union funds, in	the latter to the ESI funds for the benefit of the
accordance with the procedure thereof.	Member State concerned.
Transferred resources should be implemented in	
accordance with the rules of this Programme	
and should be used for the benefit of the Member	
State concerned.	

#### Reason

The amendment ensures consistency with the proposal for amending Article 21 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) contained in the relevant draft opinion (COTER-VI-038). The transfer would also be coherent given that both the Reform Support Programme and the CPR are based on Article 175 TFEU.

#### Amendment 5

Recital 19

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
With regard to the reform delivery tool, it is	With regard to the reform delivery tool, it is
necessary to identify the types of reforms that	necessary to identify the types of reforms that
should be eligible for financial support. To ensure	should be eligible for financial support. To ensure

their contribution to the objectives of the	their contribution to the objectives of the
Programme, the eligible reforms should be those	Programme, the eligible reforms should be those
addressing the challenges identified in the context	addressing the challenges identified in the context
of the European Semester of economic policy	of the European Semester of economic policy
coordination, including those proposed to address	coordination, including those proposed to address
the country-specific recommendations.	the country-specific recommendations and after
	having taken into account the respective
	regional perspectives.

#### Reason

The EC is creating a clear link between the spending programmes and the European Semester, which can only serve its purpose if the regional perspectives are enhanced and incorporated into it.

#### Amendment 6

Recital 20

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
In order to ensure a meaningful incentive for	In order to ensure a meaningful incentive for
Member States to complete structural reforms, it	Member States to complete structural reforms of
is appropriate to establish a maximum financial	EU relevance, it is appropriate to establish a
contribution available for them under the	maximum financial contribution available for
instrument for each stage of allocation and under	them under the instrument for each stage of
each call. That maximum contribution should be	allocation and under each call. That maximum
calculated on the basis of the population of	contribution should be calculated on the basis of
Member States. To ensure that the financial	the indicators to be adopted for cohesion policy
incentives are spread throughout the whole period	in 2021-2027 (GDP per capita, youth
of application of the Programme, the allocation of	unemployment, low education level, climate
funds to the Member States should be made in	change, and the reception and integration of
stages. In the first stage lasting twenty months,	migrants). To ensure that the financial incentives
half (EUR 11 000 000 000) of the overall	are spread throughout the whole period of
financial envelope of the reform delivery tool	application of the Programme, the allocation of
should be made available to Member States,	funds to the Member States should be made in
during which they could receive up to their	stages. In the first stage lasting twenty months,
maximum allocation by submitting proposals for	half (EUR 11 000 000 000) of the overall
reform commitments.	financial envelope of the reform delivery tool
	should be made available to Member States,
	during which they could receive up to their
	maximum allocation by submitting proposals for
	reform commitments.

# Amendment 7

Recital 23

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
In order to ensure the ownership of and a focus on	In order to ensure the ownership of and a focus on

relevant reforms, the Member States should identify the reform commitments in response to challenges identified in the context of the European Semester (including those challenges identified in country specific recommendations) and propose a detailed set of measures for their implementation, which should contain appropriate milestones and targets and a timetable for implementation over a maximum period of three years. Close cooperation between the Commission and the Member States should be sought and achieved throughout the process.

relevant reforms, the Member States, involving all levels of government, should identify the reform commitments in response to challenges identified in the context of the European Semester (including those challenges identified in country specific recommendations and after having taken into account respective regional the perspectives) and propose a detailed set of measures for their implementation, which should contain appropriate milestones and targets and a timetable for implementation over a maximum period of three years. Member States should also indicate how relevant existing EU policy actions have been coordinated to support the proposed Close cooperation between reforms. the Commission and the Member States should be sought and achieved throughout the process.

#### Amendment 8

New recital after recital 23

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
	The Member States should state how they
	involved their local and regional authorities in
	assessing reform needs and in designing,
	implementing, monitoring and evaluating
	reform commitments. This involvement will take
	place in a structured and permanent manner in
	the context of the European Semester, so that
	local and regional authorities can take part, as
	full partners and from the beginning, in the
	dialogue with the European Commission
	leading to the publication of the Country
	Reports and the Country-specific
	Recommendations. Member States will decide
	how to organise such involvement according to
	their constitutional setting and current division
	of powers across levels of government.

#### Amendment 9

Recital 31

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
For the purpose of sound financial management,	For the purpose of sound financial management,
specific rules should be laid down for budget	specific rules should be laid down for budget

commitments, payments, suspension, cancellation	commitments, payments, suspension, cancellation
and recovery of funds. Payments should be based	and recovery of funds. Payments should take
on a positive assessment by the Commission of	place through annual instalments, based on a
the implementation of the reform commitments	positive assessment by the Commission of the
by the Member State. Suspension and	implementation of progress in the reform
cancellation of the financial contribution should	commitments by the Member State. Suspension
be possible when the reform commitments have	and cancellation of the financial contribution
not been implemented in a satisfactory manner by	should be possible when the reform commitments
the Member State. To ensure a sustainable impact	have not been implemented in a satisfactory
of the reforms after they are implemented, a	manner by the Member State. To ensure a
reasonable period defining the durability of the	sustainable impact of the reforms after they are
reforms after the payment of the financial	implemented, a reasonable period defining the
contribution should be established. A period of	durability of the reforms after the payment of the
five years should be considered to be a reasonable	financial contribution should be established. A
minimum to be applied. Appropriate	period of five years should be considered to be a
contradictory procedures should be established to	reasonable minimum to be applied. Appropriate
ensure that the decision by the Commission in	contradictory procedures should be established to
relation to suspension, cancellation and recovery	ensure that the decision by the Commission in
of amounts paid respects the right of Member	relation to suspension, cancellation and recovery
States to provide observations.	of amounts paid respects the right of Member
	States to provide observations.

# Amendment 10 Recital 32

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
With regard to the technical support instrument,	With regard to the technical support instrument,
Member States have increasingly taken up	Member States have increasingly taken up
technical support under the SRSP, beyond initial	technical support under the SRSP, beyond initial
expectations. Almost all Member States have	expectations. Almost all Member States have
requested support under the SRSP and requests	requested support under the SRSP and requests
are distributed across all policy areas covered by	are distributed across all policy areas covered by
that programme. For that reason, the main	that programme. For that reason, the main
features of the SRSP should be maintained,	features of the SRSP should be maintained,
including the actions eligible for financing under	including the actions eligible for financing under
the technical support instrument.	the technical support instrument. The European
	Commission and the national governments
	should encourage the use of the technical
	support instruments by local and regional
	authorities by fully opening such instruments to
	all levels of government and actively promoting
	their use.

# Amendment 11

# Article 4

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
General objectives	General objectives
The Programme shall support the following	The Programme shall support the following
general objectives, in all Member States:	general objectives, in all Member States:
(a) to contribute to addressing national reform	(a) to contribute to addressing national reform
challenges of a structural nature aimed at	challenges of a structural nature identified for
improving the performance of the national	their EU relevance in the European Semester
economies and at promoting resilient economic	and aimed at promoting resilient economic and
and social structures in the Member States,	social structures in the Member States, thereby
thereby contributing to cohesion,	contributing to cohesion, competitiveness,
competitiveness, productivity, growth and	productivity, growth and employment at a
employment; and	<i>European level</i> ; and
(b) to contribute to strengthening the	(b) to contribute to strengthening the
administrative capacity of the Member States in	administrative capacity of the Member States and
relation to challenges faced by institutions,	their respective local and regional authorities in
governance, public administration, and economic	relation to challenges faced by institutions,
and social sectors.	governance, public administration, and economic
	and social sectors.

# Amendment 12 Article 6

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Scope	Scope
The general and the specific objectives set out in	The general and the specific objectives set out in
Articles 4 and 5 shall refer to policy areas related	Articles 4 and 5 shall refer to policy areas that
to cohesion, competitiveness, productivity,	are relevant for the implementation of the $EU$
research and innovation, smart, sustainable, and	Treaty objectives, are linked to EU competences
inclusive growth, jobs and investment, and in	and relate to cohesion, competitiveness,
particular to one or more of the following:	productivity, research and innovation, smart,
	sustainable, and inclusive growth, jobs and
	investment, and in particular to one or more of the
	following:

# Amendment 13

Article 7

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Budget	Budget
1. The financial envelope for the implementation	1. The financial envelope for the implementation
of the Programme for the period 2021-2027 shall	of the Programme for the period 2021-2027 shall
be EUR 25 000 000 000 in current prices.	be EUR 25 000 000 000 in current prices.

2. The indicative distribution of the amount referred to in paragraph 1 shall be:	2. The indicative distribution of the amount referred to in paragraph 1 shall be:
up to EUR 22 000 000 000 for the reform delivery tool;	up to EUR 22 000 000 000 for the reform delivery tool;
up to EUR 840 000 000 for the technical support instrument;	up to EUR 840 000 000 for the technical support instrument;
up to EUR 2 160 000 000 for the convergence facility, of which:	up to EUR 2 160 000 000 for the convergence facility, of which:
<ul><li>(i) up to EUR 2 000 000 000 for the financial support component; and</li><li>(ii) up to EUR 160 000 000 for the technical</li></ul>	<ul><li>(i) up to EUR 2 000 000 000 for the financial support component; and</li><li>(ii) up to EUR 160 000 000 for the technical</li></ul>
support component.	support component.
Where, by the 31 December 2023, under the	Where, by the 31 December 2023, under the
convergence facility, a non-euro-area Member	convergence facility, a non-euro-area Member
State has not taken demonstrable steps to adopt	State has not taken demonstrable steps to adopt
the single currency within a given time-frame, the	the single currency within a given time-frame, the
maximum amount available for that Member	maximum amount available for that Member
State under the financial support component of	State under the financial support component of
the convergence facility pursuant to Article 26	the convergence facility pursuant to Article 26
shall be reallocated to the reform delivery tool	shall be reallocated to the reform delivery tool
referred to in point (a) of the first subparagraph of	referred to in point (a) of the first subparagraph of
this paragraph. The Commission shall adopt a decision to that effect after having given the Member State concerned the possibility to present its observations within a period of two months of the communication of its conclusions.	this paragraph. The Commission shall adopt a decision to that effect after having given the Member State concerned the possibility to present its observations within a period of two months of the communication of its conclusions.
3. The financial envelope for the Programme may	3. In case that means allocated according to
also cover expenses pertaining to preparatory,	article 26 of the present proposal would not be
monitoring, control, audit and evaluation	committed, the resources allocated to a Member
activities, which are required for the management	State may, at its request or on a proposal by the
of the Programme and the achievement of its	Commission, be transferred to the ESI Funds
objectives, in particular studies, meetings of	for the benefit of the Member State concerned.
experts, information and communication actions, including corporate communication of the political priorities of the Union, in so far as they are related to the objectives of this Regulation,	<b>4.</b> The financial envelope for the Programme may also cover expenses pertaining to preparatory, monitoring, control, audit and evaluation activities, which are required for the management
expenses linked to IT networks focusing on	of the Programme and the achievement of its
information processing and exchange, including	objectives, in particular studies, meetings of
corporate information technology tools, and all	experts, information and communication actions,
other technical and administrative assistance	including corporate communication of the
expenses incurred by the Commission for the	political priorities of the Union, in so far as they
management of the Programme. Expenses may	are related to the objectives of this Regulation,
also cover, under each of the three instruments	expenses linked to IT networks focusing on

referred to in Article 3, the costs of other	information processing and exchange, including
supporting activities such as quality control and	corporate information technology tools, and all
monitoring of technical support projects on the	other technical and administrative assistance
ground and the costs of peer counselling and	expenses incurred by the Commission for the
experts for the assessment and implementation of	management of the Programme. Expenses may
structural reforms.	also cover, under each of the three instruments
4. Resources allocated to Member States under	referred to in Article 3, the costs of other
shared management may, at their request, be	supporting activities such as quality control and
transferred to the Programme. The Commission	monitoring of technical support projects on the
shall implement those resources directly in	ground and the costs of peer counselling and
accordance with point (a) of Article 62(1) of the	experts for the assessment and implementation of
Financial Regulation or indirectly in accordance	structural reforms.
with point (c) of that Article. Where possible	5. Resources allocated to Member States under
those resources shall be used for the benefit of the	shared management may, at their request, be
Member State concerned.	transferred to the Programme. The Commission
	shall implement those resources directly in
	accordance with point (a) of Article 62(1) of the
	Financial Regulation or indirectly in accordance
	with point (c) of that Article. Where possible
	those resources shall be used for the benefit of the
	Member State concerned.

#### Reason

The amendment ensures consistency with the proposal for amending Article 21 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) contained in the relevant draft opinion (COTER-VI-038). The transfer would also be coherent given that both the Reform Support Programme and the CPR are based on Article 175 TFEU.

#### Amendment 14 Article 9

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Annex I lays down a maximum financial	Annex I lays down a maximum financial
contribution available for each Member State out	contribution available for each Member State out
of the overall envelope of the reform delivery tool	of the overall envelope of the reform delivery tool
referred to in point (a) of Article 7(2). Such a	referred to in point (a) of Article 7(2). Such a
maximum financial contribution is calculated for	maximum financial contribution is calculated for
each Member State using the criteria and	each Member State using the criteria and
methodology set out in that Annex, based on the	methodology set out in that Annex, based on the
population of each Member State. Such a	indicators to be adopted for cohesion policy in
maximum financial contribution shall be	2021-2027 (GDP per capita, youth
available for allocation to each Member State, in	unemployment, low education level, climate
part or in full, at each stage and call of the	change, and the reception and integration of
allocation process set out in Article 10.	<i>migrants)</i> . Such a maximum financial
	contribution shall be available for allocation to

each Member State, in part or in full, at each
stage and call of the allocation process set out in
Article 10.

# Amendment 15

Article 11(3), point (e)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
the internal arrangements for the effective	the internal arrangements for the effective
implementation of the reform commitments by	implementation of the reform commitments by
the Member State concerned, including the	the Member State concerned, including the
proposed milestones and targets, and the related	proposed milestones and targets, and the related
indicators; and	indicators; the way in which the local and
	regional authorities have been involved in the
	identification of the reform commitments in the
	context of the European Semester, as well as in
	their implementation, monitoring and
	evaluation; and

## Amendment 16

Article 11(3), new point after point (e)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
	as part of the internal arrangements for the
	implementation of the reform commitments,
	specific measures ensuring coherence and
	coordination between the programme, the ESI
	Funds and other EU funded programmes as
	relevant; these should include a specific
	capacity-building roadmap for local and
	regional authorities;

#### Amendment 17

Article 11(9)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
The Economic Policy Committee, set up by	The Economic Policy Committee, set up by
Council decision 2000/604/EC on the	Council decision 2000/604/EC on the
Composition and Statutes of the Economic Policy	Composition and Statutes of the Economic Policy
Committee <sup>1</sup> , <i>may</i> provide its opinion on the	Committee <sup>1</sup> , shall provide its opinion on the
proposals for reform commitments submitted by	proposals for reform commitments submitted by
Member States.	Member States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Council Decision of 29 September 2000 on the composition and the statutes of the Economic Policy Committee (2000/604/EC) (OJ L 257, 11.10.2000, p. 28).

# Amendment 18 Article 12(3)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
The decision referred to in paragraph 1 shall lay	The decision referred to in paragraph 1 shall lay
down the financial contribution to be paid in one	down the financial contribution to be paid in
instalment once the Member State has	annual instalments once the Member State has
satisfactorily implemented all the milestones and	satisfactorily implemented all the milestones and
targets identified in relation to the implementation	targets identified for every year in relation to the
of each reform commitment.	implementation of each reform commitment.
The decision shall lay down the period for	The decision shall lay down the period for
implementation of the reform commitments,	implementation of the reform commitments,
which shall be no later than three years after the	which shall be no later than three years after the
adoption of the decision. It shall also establish:	adoption of the decision. It shall also establish:
the detailed arrangements and timetable for	the detailed arrangements and timetable for
implementation of the reform commitments and	implementation of the reform commitments and
reporting thereon by the Member State concerned	reporting thereon by the Member State concerned
within the European Semester process; the	within the European Semester process; the
relevant indicators relating to the fulfilment of the	relevant indicators relating to the fulfilment of the
milestones and targets; and the modality for	milestones and targets; and the modality for
providing access by the Commission to the	providing access by the Commission to the
underlying relevant data.	underlying relevant data.

# Amendment 19 Article 14

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Reporting by the Member State in the European	Reporting by the Member State in the European
Semester	Semester
Without prejudice to the second subparagraph of	Without prejudice to the second subparagraph of
Article 12(3), the Member State concerned shall	Article 12(3), the Member State concerned shall
report regularly within the European Semester	report regularly within the European Semester
process on the progress made in the achievement	process on the progress made in the achievement
of the reform commitments. To that effect,	of the reform commitments. To that effect,
Member States are invited to use the content of	Member States are invited to use the content of
the national reform programmes as a tool for	the national reform programmes as a tool for
reporting on progress towards reform completion.	reporting on progress towards reform completion,
The detailed arrangements and timetable for	including on the measures taken to ensure
reporting, including the modality for providing	coordination between the Programme, the ESI
access by the Commission to the underlying	Funds and other EU-funded programmes as
relevant data, shall be laid down in the decision	relevant. The detailed arrangements and timetable
referred to in Article 12(1).	for reporting, including the modality for
	providing access by the Commission to the
	underlying relevant data, shall be laid down in the

decision referred to in Article 12(1). The
Commission shall revise its guidelines on the
content of the national reform programmes
accordingly.

# Amendment 20

Article 19(2), new point after point (e)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
	capacity-building activities undertaken by local and regional authorities in the context of the national reform programmes. Local and regional authorities shall be able to submit their requests under a specific window of the Programme and be direct beneficiaries of the technical support provided.

# Amendment 21 Article 26

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Annex X lays down a maximum financial	Annex X lays down a maximum financial
contribution available for each Member State out	contribution available for each Member State out
of the overall financial envelope referred to in	of the overall financial envelope referred to in
point (c)(i) of Article 7(2). Such maximum	point (c)(i) of Article 7(2). Such maximum
financial contribution is calculated for each	financial contribution is calculated for each
eligible Member State using the criteria and	eligible Member State using the criteria and
methodology set out in that Annex, based on	methodology set out in that Annex, based on the
population of each Member State, and applies for	indicators to be adopted for cohesion policy in
each of the allocation stages and calls set out in	2021-2027 (GDP per capita, youth
Article 10.	unemployment, low education level, climate
Without prejudice to the second subparagraph of	change, and the reception and integration of
Article 7(2), such a maximum financial	migrants), and applies for each of the allocation
contribution shall be available for allocation to	stages and calls set out in Article 10.
each eligible Member State, in part or in full, at	Without prejudice to the second subparagraph of
each stage of the allocation process in accordance	Article 7(2), such a maximum financial
with the procedure set out in Article 10 and shall	contribution shall be available for allocation to
represent an additional contribution over and	each eligible Member State, in part or in full, at
above the financial contribution referred to in	each stage of the allocation process in accordance
Article 9, which shall be granted in return for	with the procedure set out in Article 10 and shall
additional reforms undertaken by the Member	represent an additional contribution over and
State concerned in accordance with Article 25.	above the financial contribution referred to in
	Article 9, which shall be granted in return for
	additional reforms undertaken by the Member
	State concerned in accordance with Article 25.

# II. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AMENDMENTS TO THE EUROPEAN INVESTMENT STABILISATION FUNCTION

# Amendment 22 Recital (8)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
In particular, in order to support Member States	In particular, in order to support Member States
whose currency is the euro to respond better to	whose currency is the euro to respond better to
rapidly changing economic circumstances and	rapidly changing economic circumstances and
stabilise their economy by preserving public	stabilise their economy by preserving public
investment in the event of large asymmetric	investment in the event of large asymmetric
shocks, a European Investment Stabilisation	shocks, a European Investment Stabilisation
Function (EISF) should be established.	Function (EISF) should be established. The EISF
	should contribute stabilising public investment
	undertaken by all levels of government, since
	local and regional authorities are responsible
	for 66% of the investments and their investments
	have not yet reached the pre-crisis level.
	Enabling local and regional bodies to maintain
	their level of investments would prevent further
	worsening of asymmetric shocks.

Reason
The importance of the local and regional level for investments should be highlighted.

# Amendment 23 Recital 15

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Strict eligibility criteria based on compliance with	Strict eligibility criteria based on compliance with
decisions and recommendations under the Union's	decisions and recommendations under the Union's
fiscal and economic surveillance framework over	fiscal and economic surveillance framework,
a period of two years before the request for EISF	including the Communication by the
support should be fulfilled by the Member State	Commission on "Making the best use of the
requesting EISF support in order not to diminish	flexibility within the existing rule of the Stability
the incentive for that Member State to pursue	and Growth Pact"1a, over a period of two years
prudent budgetary policies.	before the request for EISF support and
	compliance with a convergence code comprising
	criteria allowing for better ownership, should be
	fulfilled by the Member State requesting EISF
	support in order not to diminish the incentive for
	that Member State to pursue prudent and
	sustainable budgetary policies.

1a COM(2015) 12 final, 13.1.2015.

	Reason
Self-evident.	

# Amendment 24 Recital (21)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
Member States should invest the support received	Member States should invest the support received
under EISF in eligible public investment and also	under EISF in eligible public investment and also
maintain the level of public investment in general	maintain the level of public investment in general
compared to the average level of public	compared to the average level of public
investment over the five last years in order to	investment over the five last years in order to
ensure that the objective pursued by this	ensure that the objective pursued by this
Regulation is achieved. In that respect, there is	Regulation is achieved. In that respect, there is
the expectation that Member States should give	the expectation that Member States should give
priority to maintaining eligible investment in	priority to maintaining eligible investment in
programmes supported by the Union under the	programmes supported by the Union under the
European Regional Development Fund, the	European Regional Development Fund, the
Cohesion fund, the European Social Fund, the	Cohesion fund, the European Social Fund, the
European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and the	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and the
European Agricultural Fund for Rural	European Agricultural Fund for Rural
Development.	Development.
	However, if, due to the severity of the crisis, it
	proves impossible for the Member State to
	maintain the level of public investment they
	committed to when receiving support, the
	European Commission should determine a
	lower level of public investments the Member
	States should ensure.

# Reason

It could happen that the crisis is so severe that the Member States cannot maintain the level of public investments they committed to when receiving support. In this case, the European Commission should be able to determine a lower level of public investments the Member States should undertake.

#### Amendment 25

Recital 33

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
EISF should be considered as a first step in the	EISF should be considered as a first step in the
development over time of a fully-fledged	development over time of a fully-fledged
insurance mechanism to cater for macro-	insurance mechanism to cater for macro-

economic stabilisation. <i>Currently</i> , EISF would be	economic stabilisation. Initially, EISF would be
based on loans and granting of interest rate	based on loans and granting of interest rate
subsidies. In parallel, <i>it is not excluded that</i> the	subsidies. In parallel, the ESM or its legal
ESM or its legal successor <i>would</i> be involved <i>in</i>	successor could be involved by providing
the future by providing financial assistance to	financial assistance to Member States whose
Member States whose currency is the euro facing	currency is the euro facing adverse economic
adverse economic conditions in support of public	conditions in support of public investment.
investment. Moreover, <i>a voluntary</i> insurance	Moreover, an insurance mechanism with a
mechanism with a borrowing capacity based on	borrowing capacity based on contributions by
voluntary contributions by Member States could	Member States <i>must</i> be set up to provide for a
be set up <i>in the future</i> to provide for a powerful	powerful instrument for the purpose of macro-
instrument for the purpose of macro-economic	economic stabilisation against asymmetric
stabilisation against asymmetric shocks.	shocks.

#### Reason

To clarify the wording of Recital 33, building on comparable proposals for amendments in the draft report by Reimer Böge (EPP/DE) and Pervenche Berès (S&D/FR) presented to the European Parliament's ECON committee.

# Amendment 26

Article 3.1

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment
a decision of the Council establishing that no	a decision of the Council establishing that no
effective action has been taken to correct its	effective action has been taken to correct its
excessive deficit under Article 126(8) or Article	excessive deficit under Article 126(8) or Article
126(11) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the	126(11) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the
European Union in the two years prior to	European Union in the two years prior to
requesting support from the EISF;	requesting support from the EISF, taking into
	account the Communication by the Commission
	on "Making the best use of the flexibility within
	the existing rule of the Stability and Growth
	<i>Pact"[1]</i> ;
	[1] COM(2015) 12 final, 13.1.2015.

Reason

Self-explanatory.

## Amendment 27

Article 5(2)

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment	
The year following the disbursement of the EISF	The year following the disbursement of the EISF	
loan, the Commission shall examine whether the	loan, the Commission shall examine whether the	
Member State concerned has respected the	Member State concerned has respected the	

criteria referred to in paragraph 1. In particular,	criteria referred to in paragraph 1. In particular,	
the Commission shall also verify the extent to	the Commission shall also verify the extent to	
which the Member State concerned has	which the Member State concerned has	
maintained eligible public investment in	maintained eligible public investment in	
programmes supported by the Union under the	programmes supported by the Union under the	
European Regional Development Fund, the	European Regional Development Fund, the	
Cohesion fund, the European Social Fund, the	Cohesion fund, the European Social Fund, the	
European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and the	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and the	
European Agricultural Fund for Rural	European Agricultural Fund for Rural	
Development.	Development.	
If the Commission, after having heard the	If the Commission, after having heard the	
Member State concerned, concludes that the	Member State concerned, concludes that the	
conditions referred to in paragraph 1 have not	conditions referred to in paragraph 1 have not	
been complied with, it shall adopt a decision:	been complied with, it shall adopt a decision:	
(i) requesting the early repayment of whole or	(i) requesting the early repayment of whole or	
part of the EISF loan, as appropriate; and	part of the EISF loan, as appropriate; and	
(ii)deciding that upon repayment of EISF loan the	(ii) deciding that upon repayment of EISF loan	
Member State concerned shall not be entitled to	the Member State concerned shall not be entitled	
receive the interest rate subsidy.	to receive the interest rate subsidy.	
The Commission shall adopt its decision without	However, the Commission could also conclude	
undue delay and shall make it public.	that, due to the impact of the crisis, it was	
	impossible for the Member State concerned to	
	maintain the level of investment set in	
	paragraph 1.	
	The Commission shall adopt its decision without	
	undue delay and shall make it public.	
	J	

#### Reason

It could happen that the crisis is so severe that the Member State cannot maintain the level of public investments it committed to when receiving support. In this case, the European Commission should be able to determine a lower level of public investments the Member State should undertake.

#### Amendment 28

Article 22.5

Text proposed by the European Commission	CoR amendment	
the appropriateness of developing a voluntary	options for developing a fully-fledged insurance	
insurance mechanism serving the purpose of	mechanism to cater for macro-economic	
<i>macroeconomic</i> stabilisation.	stabilisation.	

Reason	
Self-explanatory.	

## **III. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**

### THE EUROPEAN COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

### On the Reform Support Programme

- 1. stresses that structural reforms of EU-relevance and EU-added value are crucial to ensure economic, social and territorial and cohesion, resilience and convergence within the Union and the EMU; notes that the implementation of the Country-Specific Recommendations on EU-relevant structural reforms is overall unsatisfactory, which results from a lack of ownership and insufficient administrative capacity at all levels of government;
- 2. regrets that the European Commission still has not provided a definition of "structural reforms" within the context of EU economic governance and possible support through EU programmes such as the Reform Support Programme. Reiterates against this background that according to the subsidiarity principle, the scope of structural reforms eligible for EU support should be limited to policy areas that are relevant for the implementation of the EU Treaty objectives and relate directly to EU competences. The CoR rejects any proposal for EU funding to support unspecified structural reforms in the Member States which have not undergone a prior transparent European added value assessment and which do not relate directly to Treaty-based EU competences. In this context, the CoR recalls its resolution of 1 February 2018 rejecting the Commission proposal for a regulation amending the Common Provisions Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 of 6 December 2017<sup>2</sup>;
- 3. welcomes the idea of supporting Member States willing to engage in far-reaching reform commitments, identified in the context of the European Semester, by means of financial contributions and technical assistance; stresses that the European Semester should integrate as soon as possible the Sustainable Development Goals and be consistent with the long-term investment goals of the EU cohesion policy for 2021-2027;
- 4. welcomes the idea of a convergence facility for Member States having made demonstrable steps towards joining the euro, also providing financial contributions and technical assistance;
- 5. believes that allocating the overall envelope of the Programme based on population would conflict with the Treaty objective of cohesion, which provides the legal basis of the programme (Article 175 TFEU); stresses that the appropriate allocation key should be the indicators adopted for cohesion policy in 2021-2027 (GDP per capita, youth unemployment, low education level, climate change, and the reception and integration of migrants); stresses that this approach would deal consistently with the fact that some Member States having made demonstrable steps towards joining the euro may need reforms less than some current members of the euro area;
- 6. is concerned that a lump sum paid to a Member State upon implementation of a substantial reform package may fail to trigger the decision of undertaking such reform; is concerned that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> COM(2017) 826 final.

payment in a single instalment, only once the reforms are implemented, would further weaken the incentive;

- 7. strongly supports the idea that country-specific recommendations should promote investments no less than regulatory reforms; stresses that investment-related recommendations should be aligned with the long-term investment perspective taken by the ESIF; notes that a recent study by DG EMPL shows that, between 2012 and 2015, 62% of all reform needs identified in the context of the European Semester were within the intervention range of the Structural Funds, and that the Operational Programmes actually addressed 42% of such needs; stresses that the Programme should coordinate all relevant EU spending programmes; recommends that it be also possible to move funds from the programme to the ESI funds;
- 8. notes that the Programme would make the European Semester even more important, because it would support only structural reforms identified in the context of the Semester; stresses that it is therefore crucial to improve the European Semester in terms of effectiveness and ownership of reform commitments, based on the principles of partnership and increased transparency for the local and regional authorities; stresses that independent bodies such as the National Fiscal Boards and the National Productivity Boards should help all levels of government and relevant stakeholders to assess the needs for reform and monitor the implementation of the Programme;
- 9. notes that 36% of all country-specific recommendations issued in 2018 address directly the role of cities and regions, which reflects the current division of powers across levels of government, and that, considering also the recommendations addressing only indirectly the role of the local and regional authorities and those the impact of which varies across territories, then 83% of all recommendations are territory-related;
- 10. therefore, stresses that, to ensure ownership, and effective implementation of structural reforms; local and regional authorities should be involved in the European Semester from its initial phases, as design and implementation partners, and that this should become a criterion to assess the credibility of the implementation arrangements of a reform package; insists on its proposal of a Code of Conduct for the involvement of the local and regional authorities in the Semester; welcomes the adoption by the European Parliament, in July 2018, of an amendment to the SRSP regulation stressing the need to involve the local and regional authorities in the preparation and implementation of structural reforms;
- 11. notes that preliminary results of an ongoing study commissioned by the CoR show that capacity-building for cities and regions has not been addressed in a satisfactory manner under the current MFF; notes the challenge of administrative capacity of the local and regional authorities, which is addressed, directly or indirectly, by 68% of the country-specific recommendations for 2018; points out, in this respect, that an Erasmus programme for local representatives could facilitate transfer of expertise and best practice;
- 12. regrets the absence of adequate evidence showing to what extent local and regional authorities have used the SRSP; stresses that access of local and regional authorities to the technical support instrument under the programme should be pro-actively encouraged at all levels of government; reiterates its request for a single and transparent set of guidelines coordinating all

EU-funded measures providing technical assistance and supporting capacity building under the new MFF;

- 13. encourages integrated territorial approaches, designed in a bottom-up process, to promote favourable ecosystems for the implementation of EU-relevant structural reforms;
- 14. regrets that the European Commission has decided to allocate funds for the centrally-managed Reform Support Programme while cutting programmes with shared-management and European added value such as those under the EU's Cohesion Policy;

#### On the European Investment Stabilisation Function

- 15. notes that structural factors expose Member States to large asymmetric shocks, which provoke sharp reductions of public investment, first of all at local and regional level, and generate negative spill-overs to other countries;
- 16. agrees with the Commission that public investment needs to be protected from asymmetric shocks; recalls that local and regional authorities are responsible for more than 66% of public investment in the EU; recalls that investment at regional level has not yet attained pre-crisis levels; stresses that protection from the impact of asymmetric shocks should be ensured for investments by all levels of government;
- 17. welcomes the proposal for a European Investment Stabilisation Function (EISF) which aims to make national fiscal policies more resilient to asymmetric shocks while achieving long-term sustainability; believes that it could be a first step to equipping the EMU with a temporary shock absorption mechanism;
- 18. notes that the proposal allows for a future upgrade to the scheme and reiterates its call on the European Commission to develop over time a fully-fledged insurance mechanism to cater for economic stabilisation, like a rainy day fund;
- shares the Commission's view that, in order to avoid permanent transfers and moral hazard, only Member States complying with the broad EU governance framework and progressing in convergence should be able to refer to EISF;
- 20. notes that the EISF would start with loans and a relatively small grant component; believes that a fiscal capacity should be large enough to be effective; is concerned whether the maximal amount of loans of EUR 30 billion would be sufficient in the event of a severe crisis affecting several Member States;
- 21. welcomes the Commission proposal that the EISF complement existing instruments such as the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF) and that it does not overlap with the European Stability Mechanism (ESM), despite being somewhat similar in scope; notes, however, that macro-economic stabilisation is currently not recognised as an explicit objective of the EU budget and hence poses limitations on how much the EISF can achieve;

22. notes that the term "asymmetric shocks" could also include a liquidity crisis; believes that the appropriate response to a liquidity crisis is the Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) programme of the European Central Bank, conditional on the participation of the Member State in the ESM programme, and not the EISF.

Brussels, 5 December 2018

The President of the European Committee of the Regions

Karl-Heinz Lambertz

The Secretary-General of the European Committee of the Regions

Jiří Buriánek

# IV. PROCEDURE

Title	The Reform Support Programme and European Investment
	Stabilisation Function
Reference(s)	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and
	of the Council on the establishment of the Reform Support
	Programme COM(2018) 391 final
	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the establishment of a European
	Investment Stabilisation Function COM(2018) 387 final
Legal basis	Reform Support Programme: Article 175 (3) TFEU,
Legai basis	Article 197(2) TFEU
	European Investment Stabilization Function: Article
	175(3) TFEU
Procedural basis	Rule 41 a)
Date of Council/EP referral/Date of	Reform Support Programme:
Commission letter	<ul> <li>Council: 27 June 2018</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Parliament: 26 June 2018</li> </ul>
	European Investment Stabilization Function:
	<ul> <li>Council: 25 June 2018</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Parliament: 22 June 2018</li> </ul>
Date of Bureau/President's decision	Reform Support Programme: 28 June 2018
Date of Bureau/1 resident's decision	European Investment Stabilization Function: NA
Commission responsible	Commission for Economic Policy
Rapporteur	Olga Zrihen (BE/PES), Member of the Walloon
Kapporteur	Parliament
Analysis	20 September 2018
Discussed in commission	23 October 2018
Date adopted by commission	23 October 2018
Result of the vote in commission	Majority
(majority, unanimity)	
Date adopted in plenary	5 December 2018
Previous Committee opinions	22 March 2018
Date of subsidiarity monitoring	None
consultation	